

**THE ANGULAR DEPENDENCE OF THE
THREE-POINT CORRELATION FUNCTION OF THE
COSMIC MICROWAVE BACKGROUND RADIATION
AS PREDICTED BY INFLATIONARY COSMOLOGIES**

TOBY FALK, RAGHAVAN RANGARAJAN, AND MARK SREDNICKI

Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106

ABSTRACT

Inflationary models predict a definite, model independent, angular dependence for the three-point correlation function of $\Delta T/T$ at large angles ($\gtrsim 1^\circ$) which we calculate. The overall amplitude is model dependent and generically unobservably small, but may be large in some specific models. We compare our results with other models of nongaussian fluctuations.

Subject headings: cosmology: theory — large-scale structure of universe

Recent results from COBE (Smoot et al. 1992) have provided strong evidence for fluctuations ΔT in the cosmic microwave background radiation (CMBR) on large angular scales ($\gtrsim 1^\circ$). The two-point correlation function of ΔT is consistent with a Harrison–Zel’dovich spectrum, as predicted by inflationary cosmologies (Guth 1981). Here we point out that inflation results in a definite and model independent prediction for the angular dependence of the three-point function as well. The overall amplitude of the three-point function, however, is model dependent. It is possible to construct models (discussed below) where this amplitude would be large enough to be seen in the COBE data, but generically it is expected to be too small to be observable.

For large angular scales, ΔT is predicted to be (Peebles 1982; Abbott & Wise 1984; Bond & Efstathiou 1987)

$$\Delta T(\hat{a}) = \left(\frac{3}{5\pi}\right)^{1/2} Q \int d^3k \xi(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\hat{a}}, \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{a}$ is a unit vector on the sky, Q is the predicted RMS quadrupole amplitude, and the two-point correlation function of the random variable $\xi(\vec{k})$ is given by

$$\langle \xi(\vec{k}_1) \xi(\vec{k}_2) \rangle = k_1^{-3} \delta^3(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2), \quad (2)$$

where $\delta^3(\vec{k})$ is the three-dimensional Dirac delta function. To study the two-point correlation function of ΔT in a realistic setting, we must first expand ΔT in Legendre polynomials, remove the monopole, dipole, and (following Smoot et al. 1992) quadrupole terms, and weight the remaining terms to account for the finite beam width σ of the antennas. This results in (Bond & Efstathiou 1987)

$$e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\hat{a}} \rightarrow \sum_{l=3}^{\infty} i^l (2l+1) W_l j_l(k) P_l(\hat{k}\cdot\hat{a}), \quad (3)$$

where $k = |\vec{k}|$, $\hat{k} = \vec{k}/k$, $j_l(k)$ is a spherical Bessel function, and $W_l \simeq \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(l + \frac{1}{2})^2 \sigma^2]$; Smoot et al. (1992) take $\sigma = 3.2^\circ$. This implies that the two-point correlation function for ΔT takes the form

$$\langle \Delta T(\hat{a}) \Delta T(\hat{b}) \rangle = \frac{6}{5} Q^2 C_2(\hat{a}, \hat{b}), \quad (4)$$

where

$$C_2(\hat{a}, \hat{b}) = \sum_{l=3}^{\infty} (2l+1) C_l W_l^2 P_l(\hat{a}\cdot\hat{b}), \quad (5)$$

with $C_l = 2 \int_0^\infty dk j_l^2(k)/k = 1/l(l+1)$. For reference, $C_2(\hat{a}, \hat{b})$ is plotted as a function of the separation angle $\alpha = \cos^{-1}(\hat{a}\cdot\hat{b})$ in Figure (1).

In inflationary models, the random variable $\xi(\vec{k})$ has its origin in the fluctuations of a quantum field φ . Working in momentum space, and assuming φ is massless and noninteracting, during the de Sitter epoch the two-point correlation function of φ is

$$\langle \varphi(\vec{k}_1, \tau) \varphi(\vec{k}_2, \tau) \rangle = 4\pi^3 H^2 k_1^{-3} \delta^3(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2) \quad (6)$$

in the long wavelength limit (Bunch & Davies 1978). Here τ is conformal time and H is the Hubble parameter, related to the (constant) scalar potential V_0 via $H^2 = 8\pi V_0/3$. (We take $\hbar = c = G = 1$.) The three-point correlation function vanishes identically. However, if the field φ has any sort of interaction (with itself or with other fields), nontrivial higher-point correlation functions will appear, and these will be passed on to the temperature perturbations (Allen, Grinstein, & Wise 1987). The simplest possible interaction, and one which will generically be present, is a modification of the scalar potential from a constant to $V(\varphi) = V_0 + \frac{1}{6}\mu\varphi^3$. To leading order in μ , this interaction implies a three-point function which can be calculated via standard methods of quantum field theory in curved space (Birrell & Davies 1982). In the long wavelength limit, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi(\vec{k}_1, \tau) \varphi(\vec{k}_2, \tau) \varphi(\vec{k}_3, \tau) \rangle &= \frac{2}{3}\pi^3 \mu H^2 (k_1 k_2 k_3)^{-3} F(k_1, k_2, k_3) \\ &\times \delta^3(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(k_1, k_2, k_3) &= (k_1^3 + k_2^3 + k_3^3) [\log(k_T |\tau|) + \gamma] \\ &- (k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2) k_T + k_1 k_2 k_3. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Here $k_i = |\vec{k}_i|$ and $k_T = k_1 + k_2 + k_3$; γ is Euler's constant. The factor of $\log(k_T |\tau|)$ in equation (8) is minus the number of e-foldings from the time at which fluctuations of wavenumber k_T first passed outside the horizon until the end of inflation. For the relevant length scales, one typically finds $\log(k_T |\tau|) \simeq -60$ (e.g., Bardeen, Steinhardt, & Turner 1982), and so the term with this factor dominates over the others. From here on we will approximate $F(k_1, k_2, k_3)$ as

$$F(k_1, k_2, k_3) \simeq -\beta (k_1^3 + k_2^3 + k_3^3) \quad (9)$$

and treat $\beta \simeq 60$ as independent of k_i . A similar logarithmic dependence on k_i (with a different origin) is also present in equation (2), and is also routinely ignored.

The fluctuations in φ become fluctuations in the CMBR in one of two ways. If the energy stored in φ is eventually converted to ordinary matter and energy (including the CMBR), then the fluctuations are adiabatic, and the correlation functions of $\xi(\vec{k})$ are the

same (up to a sign) as those of $\varphi(\vec{k})/(4\pi^3 H^2)^{1/2}$. In this case we typically find $\mu/H \lesssim 10^{-7}$ (Hodges et al. 1991), but larger values may be possible if we fine-tune the scalar potential. If, instead, the energy stored in φ is eventually converted to dark matter, then the fluctuations are isocurvature (Linde 1984), and the correlation functions of $\xi(\vec{k})$ are again the same (up to a sign) as those of $\varphi(\vec{k})/(4\pi^3 H^2)^{1/2}$ (Allen et al. 1987). In this case there are no obvious restrictions on μ/H .

Neglecting, for the moment, the effects of finite beam width and the need to subtract the $l = 0, 1, 2$ terms in ΔT , we can combine Eqs. (1), (2), (6), (7), and (9) to compute an “unsubtracted” three-point correlation function for ΔT . We find

$$\langle \Delta T(\hat{a}) \Delta T(\hat{b}) \Delta T(\hat{c}) \rangle_{\text{unsub}} = \varepsilon \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{3}{5} \right)^{3/2} \beta \frac{\mu}{H} Q^3 \tilde{C}_3(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}) , \quad (10)$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ is a model dependent sign, and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_3(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}) &= \frac{1}{3(2\pi)^2} \int d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2 d^3 k_3 \delta^3(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3) \\ &\quad \times (k_1^{-3} k_2^{-3} + \dots) e^{i(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \hat{a} + \vec{k}_2 \cdot \hat{b} + \vec{k}_3 \cdot \hat{c})} \\ &= \frac{1}{3(2\pi)^2} \int d^3 k_1 k_1^{-3} e^{i\vec{k}_1 \cdot (\hat{a} - \hat{c})} \int d^3 k_2 k_2^{-3} e^{i\vec{k}_2 \cdot (\hat{b} - \hat{c})} + \dots \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left[\tilde{C}_2(\hat{a}, \hat{c}) \tilde{C}_2(\hat{b}, \hat{c}) + \tilde{C}_2(\hat{b}, \hat{a}) \tilde{C}_2(\hat{c}, \hat{a}) + \tilde{C}_2(\hat{c}, \hat{b}) \tilde{C}_2(\hat{a}, \hat{b}) \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

In the last line, we have defined an “unsubtracted” dimensionless two-point correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_2(\hat{a}, \hat{b}) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^3 k k^{-3} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot (\hat{a} - \hat{b})} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) C_l P_l(\hat{a} \cdot \hat{b}) . \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Equation (11) is a beautifully simple formula, but clearly is only a formal relation, since the monopole term in $\tilde{C}_2$ is infinite. Equation (11) must be corrected to remove the $l = 0, 1, 2$ terms, and to account for finite beam width. This is straightforward, and ultimately yields

$$\langle \Delta T(\hat{a}) \Delta T(\hat{b}) \Delta T(\hat{c}) \rangle = \varepsilon \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{3}{5} \right)^{3/2} \beta \frac{\mu}{H} Q^3 C_3(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}) , \quad (13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_3(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}) &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j,k,l=3}^{\infty} (2j+1)(2k+1)(2l+1) (C_j C_k + C_k C_l + C_l C_j) \\ &\quad \times W_j W_k W_l f_{jkl}(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}) , \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and we have defined

$$f_{jkl}(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\Omega_n P_j(\hat{a} \cdot \hat{n}) P_k(\hat{b} \cdot \hat{n}) P_l(\hat{c} \cdot \hat{n}) . \quad (15)$$

Here $d\Omega_n$ denotes integration over the unit vector $\hat{n}$. If desired, $f_{jkl}(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c})$ can be expanded in spherical harmonics of each of the three unit vectors by making use of standard relations [for example, eqs. (9.79) and (16.90) of Merzbacher (1970)], but the resulting formula for the coefficients is unwieldy and will not be presented here.

$C_3(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c})$ is plotted in Figures (2) and (3) for an equilateral triangle on the sky: $\hat{a} \cdot \hat{b} = \hat{b} \cdot \hat{c} = \hat{c} \cdot \hat{a} = \cos \alpha$. Surprisingly, for this case it is given to a good approximation by $[C_2(\hat{a}, \hat{b})]^2$, mimicking the relation between the unsubtracted functions $\tilde{C}_2$ and $\tilde{C}_3$. Clearly to check for the presence of a nonzero three-point function in the COBE data, one should look at the smallest feasible values of α . If a signal is found, the specific prediction of equation (14) could be checked by looking at other configurations of $\hat{a}$, $\hat{b}$, and $\hat{c}$, such as all three in a line on the sky.

The nonzero three-point function implies that the fluctuations are nongaussian. The particular form of the three-point function specified by equation (9) also arises (to leading order in λ) in models in which fluctuations in the gravitational potential ϕ are given by a local, nonlinear transformation of a gaussian random field ξ : $\phi(\vec{x}) = \xi(\vec{x}) + \lambda \xi(\vec{x})^2 + \dots$. Such models have been investigated by Kofman et al. (1991), Moscardini et al. (1991), and Scherrer (1992). In particular, Kofman et al. (1991) have noted that such effects can arise due to nonlinearities in the classical evolution equations for certain inflationary models, even if the initial fluctuations are gaussian. Other nongaussian models (which do not result from inflation) give different three-point functions. For example, Weinberg & Cole (1992) have studied models in which the density fluctuations $\delta\rho/\rho$ are given by a local, nonlinear transformation of a gaussian random field; this yields $F \propto (k_1 k_2 k_3)^2 (k_1^{-1} + k_2^{-1} + k_3^{-1})$ to leading order in λ . Still another type of model has been proposed by Scherrer & Schaefer (1992); it gives $F \propto (k_1 k_2 k_3)^{3/2}$. These results for F imply that, if we normalize the deviations from gaussian statistics at small scales, inflationary models will produce larger deviations on large scales than these alternatives. We would argue that future work on nongaussian models should focus on those which reproduce the three-point function reported here, since it is a generic prediction of inflationary cosmologies.

We would like to thank Mark Wise for pointing out to us both the feasibility and potential interest of this calculation, and for providing us a copy of his unpublished notes on the related calculations reported by Allen et al. This work was supported in part by NSF Grant No. PHY-91-16964.

REFERENCES

- Abbott, L. F., & Wise, M. B. 1984, Phys. Lett., B135, 179
- Allen, T. J., Grinstein, B., & Wise, M. B. 1987, Phys. Lett., B197, 66
- Bardeen, J. M., Steinhardt, P. J., & Turner, M. S. 1983, Phys. Rev. D, 28, 679
- Birrell, N. D., & Davies, P. C. W. 1982, Quantum Fields in Curved Space (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- Bond, J. R., & Efstathiou, G. 1987, MNRAS, 226, 655
- Bunch, T. S., & Davies, P. C. W. 1978, Proc. R. Soc. Lon., A360, 117
- Guth, A. 1981, Phys. Rev. D, 23, 347
- Hodges, H. M., Blumenthal, G. R., Kofman, L. A., & Primack, J. R. 1990, Nucl. Phys., B335, 197
- Kofman, L., Blumenthal, G. R., Hodges, H. M., & Primack, J. R. 1991, in Large Scale Structures and Peculiar Motions in the Universe, ed. D. W. Latham & L. A. Nicolaci da Costa (San Francisco: Astron. Soc. Pac.)
- Linde, A. D. 1984, JETP Lett., 40, 1333
- Merzbacher, E. 1970, Quantum Mechanics (New York: Wiley)
- Moscardini, L., Matarrese, S., Lucchin, F., & Messina, A. 1991, MNRAS, 248, 424
- Peebles, P. J. E. 1982, ApJ, 263, L1
- Smoot, G. F., et al. 1992, ApJ, in press
- Scherrer, R. J. 1992, ApJ, 390, 330
- Scherrer, R. J., & Schaefer, R. K. 1992, Ohio State Univ. preprint OSU-TA-7/92
- Weinberg, D. H., & Cole, S. 1991, U. C. Berkeley preprint CfPA-TH-91-025

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1.—The dimensionless two-point correlation $C_2(\hat{a}, \hat{b})$ as a function of α , where $\hat{a} \cdot \hat{b} = \cos \alpha$, for $\sigma = 3.2^\circ$. (*Description: $C_2(\alpha)$ is the same as the center of the gray band in Fig. 3 of Smoot et al. but with a different vertical scale; $C_2(0) = 3.04$.*)

Fig. 2.—The dimensionless three-point correlation $C_3(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c})$ as a function of α , where $\hat{a} \cdot \hat{b} = \hat{b} \cdot \hat{c} = \hat{c} \cdot \hat{a} = \cos \alpha$, for $\sigma = 3.2^\circ$. (*Description: $C_3(\alpha)$ is defined only for α between 0° and 120° . Over this range it looks a lot like $C_2(\alpha)^2$; $C_3(0) = 9.15$ and $C_3(120^\circ) = 0$.*)

Fig. 3.—Same as Figure 2, with the vertical scale expanded to show the large angle structure.